

S K E N È

Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies

7:2 2021

“Well-Staged Syllables”:
From Classical to Early Modern English Metres
in Drama

Edited by Silvia Bigliuzzi

SKENÈ Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies

Founded by Guido Avezù, Silvia Bigliuzzi, and Alessandro Serpieri

<i>Executive Editor</i>	Guido Avezù.
<i>General Editors</i>	Guido Avezù, Silvia Bigliuzzi.
<i>Editorial Board</i>	Simona Brunetti, Nicola Pasqualicchio, Susan Payne, Gherardo Ugolini.
<i>Managing Editors</i>	Valentina Adami, Emanuel Stelzer.
<i>Assistant Managing Editor</i>	Roberta Zanoni.
<i>Book Review Editors</i>	Chiara Battisti, Sidia Fiorato.
<i>Staff</i>	Petra Bjelica, Francesco Dall'Olio, Bianca Del Villano, Serena Demichelis, Marco Duranti, Carina Louise Fernandes, Leonardo Mancini, Antonietta Provenza, Savina Stevanato, Angelica Vedelago.
<i>Typesetters</i>	Lorenza Baglieri, Greca Melis, Raul Rossi.
<i>Advisory Board</i>	Anna Maria Belardinelli, Anton Bierl, Enoch Brater, Richard Allen Cave, Jean-Christophe Cavallin, Rosy Colombo, Claudia Corti, Marco De Marinis, Tobias Döring, Pavel Drábek, Paul Edmondson, Keir Douglas Elam, Ewan Fernie, Patrick Finglass, Enrico Giaccherini, Mark Griffith, Daniela Guardamagna, Stephen Halliwell, Robert Henke, Pierre Judet de la Combe, Eric Nicholson, Guido Paduano, Franco Perrelli, Didier Plassard, Donna Shalev, Susanne Wofford.

Copyright © 2021 SKENÈ
Published in December 2021
All rights reserved.
ISSN 2421-4353

The Journal is a CC-BY 4.0 publication
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
SKENÈ Theatre and Drama Studies
<https://skenejournal.skeneproject.it>
info@skeneproject.it

Dir. Resp. (aut. Trib. di Verona): Guido Avezù
P.O. Box 149 c/o Mail Boxes Etc. (MBE150) – Viale Col. Galliano, 51, 37138, Verona (I)

Contents

“Well-Staged Syllables”: From Classical to Early Modern English Metres in Drama

Edited by Silvia Bigliazzi

SILVIA BIGLIAZZI – <i>Introduction</i>	5
STEPHEN ORGEL – <i>True Order of Versifying: the Reform of Elizabethan Poetry</i>	21
GUIDO AVEZZÙ – “ <i>Secundum quasdam suas partes</i> ”: <i>Renaissance Readings of the Lyric Structures of Greek Tragedy</i>	35
MARCO DURANTI – “ <i>An unexplored sea</i> ”. <i>The Metres of Greek Drama in Early Modern England</i>	65
ANGELICA VEDELAGO – “ <i>Ex uariis metri generibus</i> ”: <i>Two ‘Metrical’ Neo-Latin Translators of Greek Tragedy across the English Channel</i>	81
FRANCESCO DAL’OLIO – <i>Two Worlds, One Language: Metrics for the Chorus in Buchanan’s Euripidean Translations</i>	117
SILVIA BIGLIAZZI – <i>Versifying the Senecan Chorus: Notes on Jasper Heywood’s Emulative Approach to Troas</i>	139
EMANUEL STELZER – <i>Confluences and Spillages: Enjambment in Elizabethan Tragedy and the Classics</i>	167
HANNAH JANE CRAWFORTH – ‘ <i>Doubtful Feet</i> ’ and ‘ <i>Healing Words</i> ’: <i>Greek Tragic Prosody in Samson Agonistes</i>	203
ROBERT STAGG – <i>Afterword</i>	221

Miscellany

FABIO CIAMBELLA – <i>A Corpus Linguistic Analysis of Dance Lexis in Eight Early Modern Manuscripts: From the Inns of Court to Drama</i>	231
LEONARDO MANCINI – <i>The Shadow of the Myth. El Romancero de Edipo with Toni Cots Directed by Eugenio Barba (1984-1990)</i>	251
ELEONORA FOIS and DANIELA FRANCESCA VIRDIS – <i>Normal Non-Fluency and Verbatim Theatre: a Linguistic and Performative Analysis</i>	271

Special Section

HANNIBAL HAMLIN – <i>Chanita Goodblatt, Jewish and Christian Voices in English Reformation Biblical Drama: Enacting Family and Monarchy. London and New York: Routledge, 2018</i>	301
EDOARDO GIOVANNI CARLOTTI – <i>Dick McCaw, Rethinking the Actor’s Body. Dialogues with Neuroscience. London and New York: Methuen Drama, 2020</i>	317
SILVIA SILVESTRI – “ <i>But he loves me . . . to death</i> ”. <i>An Interview with Director Tonio De Nitto and Translator-Adaptor Francesco Niccolini about their Staging of La Bisbetica Domata (The Taming of the Shrew) for Factory Compagnia Transadriatica</i>	327

MONICA CRISTINI – <i>WEATHER# Developing New Theatre Ecologies Through a Virtual Venue</i>	339
GHERARDO UGOLINI – <i>Orestes the Gunslinger and the Flying Bacchae. Ancient Theatre Festival - Syracuse 2021</i>	349
MARK BROWN – <i>The Edinburgh Festivals 2021: a Covid-Era Return</i>	361
PETRA BJELICA – <i>Shaping the Edges of a New Vision. The Verona Shakespeare Fringe Festival 2021</i>	373

ROBERT STAGG*

Afterword

The title of this collection is derived from Philip Sidney. Towards the end of his *Defence of Poesy* (published in 1595 though written about fifteen years earlier), Sidney weighs two kinds of versification alongside, and sometimes against, one another. First there is “the ancient”, a so-called ‘quantitative’ prosody of the sort found in Latin, which Sidney deems “more fit for music” and “to express diverse passions, by the low or lofty sounds of the well-weighed syllable”; the second kind, the modern, “striketh a certain kind of music” too, and “doth delight, though by another way” (Sidney 2002, 248).¹ In the end the distinction (like much else in Sidney’s *Defence*) curiously fizzles out, “there being in either sweetness, and wanting in neither majesty”, and Sidney concludes that the English language is “fit for both sorts” of verse (ibid). While Sidney’s distinction can ultimately seem a little anticlimactic, the phrase “well-weighed syllable” reverberates through Derek Attridge’s *Well-Weighed Syllables: Elizabethan Verse in Classical Metres* (1974) which sought, rather as this volume does, to understand what Sidney and others heard in “the ancient” versification of the Greeks and Latins and why they thought it worth renaissancing in their vernacular prosodies. However, the title of this special issue describes “well-staged”, not “well-weighed” syllables. It is a provocative rewording, since Sidney had little ear for the theatre. He thought it populated by “gross absurdities” with “neither decency nor discretion”, “mingling kings and clowns” in mongrel tragicomedies, which “causeth her mother Poesy’s honesty to be called in question” (Sidney 2002, 243). Only Thomas Sackville and Thomas

¹ It is unclear whether the similar debate about quantitative verse between Dicus and Lalus in two manuscripts of the *Old Arcadia* (Jesus College, Oxford MS 150 and The Queen’s College, Oxford MS 301) pre- or post-dates Sidney’s discussion of the subject in the *Defence*.

* University of Oxford - robert.stagg@ell.ox.ac.uk

Norton's *Gorboduc* (1561), the first English play to be written (mostly) in blank verse, comes out of the *Defence* with any credit – and even then its “stately speeches” and “well sounding phrases” are undermined by its being “faulty both in place and time” (ibid). Moreover, the sort of quantitative verse Sidney is discussing in the *Defence* – and the sort he had written elsewhere, for example in some of his sonnets, and in parts of the *Arcadia* – was not intended for the stage (indeed many of its propagandists, Harvey noisiest among them, would have been aghast to think they were writing anything so popular). In the spirit of Stephen Orgel's article, then, which asks us to consider who *did not* write such quantitative versification as well as who did, this special issue of *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies* offers us an account of a dog that is not normally thought to have barked – or, more aptly, a syllable that is not normally thought to have been spoken: a neoclassical prosody that found its way onto the English stage, or at least onto some English stages, or at least had the possibility of some sort of staging in England.

One of those possible stages was the schoolroom. There was a theatrical quality to many sixteenth- and seventeenth-century grammar school practices, whether or not they took place in verse, from the “dialogue method” of “academic rhetorical training in the writing of *controversiae*” (Jones 1977, 13), whereby pupils wrote personified speeches or detached arguments in favour of such and such a logical position, to the “opposing” exercises in which schoolboys gave voice to a series of back-and-forth interrogations, to the writing of *prosopopeia* and *ekphrasis* in which “liveliness” and the “art of impersonation and description” (Enterline 2012, 21) were especially cherished, characteristics which found their more three-dimensional expression onstage. In this collection of essays Francesco Dall'Olio and Angelica Vedelago go further still, showing us how some dramas sought to recreate the style of a classical metre or metres for pedagogical purposes, educating students both in the rhythms of Greek and Latin and how those languages might be rendered into the vernacular – as was the case with George Buchanan's 1556 translation of Euripides' *Alcestis* (which was performed at the college of La Guyenne, “an equivalent of the English grammar school” where Buchanan himself taught, Vedelago, 111 and Dall'Olio, 126) and Thomas Watson's 1581 translation of Sophocles' *Antigone* (which was “most probably” performed “by students . . . at Oxford”, Vedelago, 109).

While the “rhythmic enunciation” (Enterline 2012, 152) of the English schoolroom was largely classical in tone, and did not venture far into English prosody, as the appendix to Marco Duranti's essay helpfully demonstrates, it did encourage pupils to conceptually and pragmatically shuttle between vernacular and classical prosodies. One of Roger Ascham's innovations as a

pedagogue was to codify the exercise in ‘double translation’, a loose version of which was current in grammar schools around the country.² Pupils would first take lines from a Latin text, then turn them into English before returning them into Latin. Ascham thought the exercise kept the mind “busily occupied in turning and tossing itself many ways” (Ascham 1570, 42); for a curious pupil, it would also have insinuated the possible overlaps between the two languages and their prosodic systems. In another well-attested prosody exercise, a schoolmaster would change some of the words in a poem to take it out of correct quantitative metre. The first schoolboy to “return” the verse to its “true” quantities would win the applause of the schoolroom (Hoole 1660, 160). We hear something of both exercises happening onstage in William Shakespeare’s *The Taming of the Shrew*. In 3.1 a disguised Lucentio ‘teaches’ Bianca in a broken quantitative metre interspersed with hasty English prose. When Bianca replies to Lucentio she restores the quantitative metre so that “‘tis now in tune” and “construe[d]” in accordance with John Lily’s grammar school textbook (Shakespeare 2005, 3.1.44, 40). Bianca – more importantly, the boy playing Bianca – and Lucentio are involved in a cheeky play on the double translation exercise, as they (in another version of a grammar school exercise) return the Latin verse to a state of prosodic rectitude. While these exercises were supposed to entrench Latin quantitative prosody, they must also have nudged the more thoughtful students (Shakespeare among them) to creatively entertain a borderland between classical and vernacular versification. This “prosodic erudition”, in Vedelago’s phrase (pp.), meant that “sixteenth-century poets were adept at holding two different rhythms – a formal, quantitative, metrical one and a natural, accented, verbal one – in their heads simultaneously” (King 2000, 238).

The sixteenth-century treatises on English poetics were in keeping with this educational legacy, for they macaronically blur the differences between Latin and English prosody. Throughout his *Notes* (1576) George Gascoigne refers to stressed syllables as “long” and unstressed syllables as “short”, without making a distinction between stress and quantity. George Puttenham often conflates (or confuses) quantitative and accentual-syllabic writing, concluding that both have a “numerosity” about them (Puttenham 2007, 157, 209). Although William Webbe recognises that English “words cannot well be forced to abide the touch of position and other rules of [Latin] *prosodia*”, he still thinks they have a “natural force or quantity” that “will not abide any place” (Webbe 1586, G1r).

This prosodic latitude, almost a metrical code-switching, is emphasised

² For the theory and practice of ‘double translation’ in Ascham’s *Schoolmaster* and in early modern schoolrooms, see Miller 1963.

in Hannah Crawford's article for this issue, which hears *Samson Agonistes* as a "dramatic poem" that not only "describes a freedom of form within a system of constraint" but additionally encourages its readers "to make their freedom within constraint itself", to hear "the limits of all pre-existing modes [of versification], ancient and modern, Greek and English" and to plot their own way through a thicket of existing prosodic options (217). Those options – whether they together constituted more of a predicament or an opportunity – proliferate yet further if we consider the metrical principles that animated the Elizabethan revival of classical prosody, since no-one in that age entirely agreed upon what it was and how it worked (unlike, later, Alfred Lord Tennyson who claimed to know the metrical 'quantity' of every word in English except "scissors", Tennyson 1897, 2.231). Sixteenth-century prosodists puzzled and fretted and argued. What did 'short' and 'long' syllables mean in practice? Should they be read as if they were English prose but have their length measured, separately, nonetheless? Or should readers slow down on a long syllable (with a "slow staidness", as William Scott put it in 1599 and speed up (with a "more voluble speed and currentness") on a short syllable? (2013, 59). Was 'quantity' a replacement for stress or a supplement to it? With a touch of bemusement, George Puttenham concluded that syllables in Greek and Latin must have been "timed . . . not by reason of any evident or apparent cause in writing or sound remaining upon one more than another", running counter to an influential grammarians' argument that syllabic length had once been acoustically palpable but had since become a solely intellectual quality (Puttenham 2007, 204). In *Well-Weighed Syllables*, Attridge concurs that "sound" is "the wrong place to look for 'quantity'"; for him, "it is to be found in the minds of the Elizabethans" (Attridge 1974, 160). He offers an intriguing comparison with the planting of the Farnese Gardens atop Rome's Palatine Hill in the mid-sixteenth century, where the papal architect Giacomo da Vignola had aligned steps, hedges and grottoes with the Basilica of Constantine, even though the basilica lay buried beneath several feet of earth (it would not be excavated until the nineteenth century). Vignola's design appealed to the "mind's eye" (*Hamlet* 1.2.184), rather as quantitative prosody appealed to the mind's ear. Was 'quantity', then, something to be "intellectually conceived (as opposed to audibly perceived)", somehow avoiding the ear and engaging only the mind (Hamlin 2004, 102)? And if so, how on earth could it be staged?

Silvia Bigliuzzi's essay proposes another intriguing way that early modern writers 'made their freedom' from the classical metres they translated (154-9), thereby struggling loose from the quandaries discussed above. They could do so by emphasising 'emulation' over 'imitation'. Where other translations (such as those discussed in Dall'Olio and Vedelago's articles) tried to imitate, even to recreate, classical metres with or in an English

equivalent, Jasper Heywood “shows no actual equivalence to Seneca’s metres” when translating the chorus of his *Troas* (1559) “and precisely by failing to do so” made his “emulative project autonomous” (160). After all, as several of the sixteenth-century quantitative prosodists noted, Latin metres could themselves be read as an imitation or emulation of Ancient Greek – this being an analysis that could sometimes clinch their arguments for a quantitative verse in English (since it promised a Latinate golden age for English poetry) yet could sometimes trouble them too (since it threatened a sort of linguistic infinite regress, with the vernacular yanked further and further from its classical origins). Contributors to this collection are somewhat divided as to the place of Ancient Greek in sixteenth-century England, with Dall’Olio arguing for a “more Greek than Latin prosody” in some of Buchanan’s translations (126), while Marco Duranti contends that Greek was less important in general, pointing out that “[n]o treatise specifically devoted to Greek prosody was printed in England” (66). In this respect, the collection echoes – more by emulation than imitation – the debates about Greek in Elizabethan England, with scholars then and now trying to establish whether Greek is the language behind the arras of Latin prosody’s sixteenth-century recrudescence.

If the essays included here stretch back to the classics, both in Latin and Greek, they also reach across Europe. Thus, Emanuel Stelzer reads enjambment as a ‘marker’ of classical gravitas first in the *versi sciolti* of early-to-mid sixteenth-century Italy and then in the blank verse of Elizabethan England. This is a matter of ‘confluence’ (Bruce Smith’s word, cannily employed by Stelzer) more than of ‘influence’; neoclassical trends in versification emerge across the European continent in tandem yet often without definite, documented connection (Smith 1988, 6).

In just this vein, Sidney’s first acquaintance with a “well-weighed syllable” was probably in France. His years in Paris in the mid-1570s brought him into the orbit of the grammarian and quantitative prosodist Petrus Ramus. During 1573, Sidney lived with Ramus’s printer André Wechel “at peppercorn rates” (Stewart 2001, 79) on the Rue Jean-de-Beauvais, a stone’s (or peppercorn’s) throw from the Sorbonne, where and when Wechel was printing the second edition of Ramus’s immensely influential *Grammaire* (he had also printed the first edition of 1562). In the first edition of his grammar, Ramus had celebrated the “coze naturelle” (“natural cause”) of a vernacular quantitative prosody “com’el’etoet aus ansien’ Gres e Latins” (“like that of the Ancient Greeks and Latins”, Ramus 1562, 35, translation mine). For the second edition, which he did not live to see in print, Ramus expanded his remarks on quantitative verse to further adumbrate and celebrate this “bonne & riche poesie” (Ramus 1573, 43). Ramus’s pupil and sometime secretary Théophile de Banos would later dedicate his edition of

the *Petri Rami Commentariorum de Religione Christiana* (1576) to Sidney, and commemorated Sidney's friendship with and esteem for Ramus in a dedicatory epistle to the edition. The "loose research group or think tank" (Hetherington 2018, 647) that hung around Sidney even after his death was often explicitly Ramist, ranging from Abraham Fraunce's production of a Ramist logic (in 1585) and rhetoric (in 1588) to William Temple's dedication of Ramus's dialectic to Sidney in 1584. Furthermore, Sidney's stay in Paris coincided with the rise of the *Académie de Poésie et de Musique*, "the first French academy to be officially instituted by royal decree" (Yates 1947, 14). The academy was designed to promote the so-called *musique mesurée*, a music based on ancient quantities rather than vernacular phrasing and intonation. The French-language lyrics for songs and psalms set to this music were therefore in quantitative metre. As the letters patent put it, the academicians would volunteer "essays de Vers mesurez mis en musique, mesurée selon les loix à peu près des Maîtres de la Musique du bon & ancien âge" ("attempts at measured verses put into music, measured according to the laws closest to the music masters of that good and ancient age"), the point of which was to purge "la barbarie" from "les esprits des Auditeurs" ("the minds of the auditors/audience"; Yates 1947, 319-320, translation mine). As far as literary historians can tell, these were 'confluences' around rather than 'influences' on Sidney, even though they took place in the span of only a few Parisian streets, but they attest to (what Stelzer modestly calls) "the non-insular character of Elizabethan verse-making" (196).

None of these Sidneyan 'confluences' found their way onto an English stage, and readers of this collection will have been disappointed if they took its title too literally. In fact, few of the neoclassically versified texts discussed here were given a performance (so far as we know). Some of them, like Milton's *Samson*, can seem to actively resist performance and/or cultivate readership; others, like Buchanan's translations, may have envisaged their performance as instrumental or mediatory rather than as a valuable end in itself. Other 'emulative' attempts at a neoclassical dramatic metre, like the fourteener (as a version of the Senecan iambic trimeter), only flourished on stage for a relatively brief period and were displaced by less ostentatious verse forms, chief among them blank verse.

Both Stelzer and Orgel's essays might nudge us to think, too, of blank verse – eventually the dominant metre of the early modern English stage – as a neoclassical sort of versification. Several contributors to this collection mention that John Day first advertised English blank verse as a "strange meter" (Howard 1554, frontispiece). The word "strange" assumes a now obsolete sense of "belonging to another country; foreign, alien" (*OED* s.v. "strange" adj. and n. 1a), which could encompass a strangeness in time as well as in place. Many scholars have heard "an echo of the classical high

style” (Blank 2006, 60) emanating from the unrhymed iambic pentameter, with its blank ten syllables somehow “simulating the exotic grace of Latin quantitative verse” (Tucker Brooke 1922, 187-8) and recuperating “the resonance of Virgil’s dactylic hexameters” (Simpson 2016, 1.601), even though the iambic pentameter is syllabically shorter than and rhythmically quite different from Virgil’s heroic line(s). The earliest works of blank verse are undoubtedly steeped in the classics, from the Earl of Surrey’s *Aeneid* translation(s) to Sackville and Norton’s “pure Senecan” *Gorboduc* (Schelling 1908, 2.401) to George Gascoigne and Francis Kinwelmarsh’s *Jocasta*, ‘the first pale figure of Greek tragedy on the English stage’ (Prouty 1966, 157). These pioneer blank versers wanted some “legitimizing connection to the classical past” (McKeen 2020, 179), for sure, even as they wrote in the vernacular, which was putatively busy “decoloniz[ing] the modern occident from the domination of Latin” (Waswo 1999, 412) (Stephen Guy-Bray has wittily described Surrey’s *Aeneid* as “a conservative innovation”, 2004, 181). The apparent Janus-facedness of early blank verse might look in one direction after all, by facing up to the passing of “cultural and political authority . . . from a fallen empire to a rising one” (McKeen 2020, 174); we could think of *versos sueltos* as a prosodic accompaniment to sixteenth-century Spain’s acquiring of territory in Germany, the Low Countries and the Americas, which was routinely afforded classical parallel, or the unrhymed *hendecassilabo* as an accompaniment to Portugal’s maritime growth, expeditions and colonisations around Africa, India and China.³ In other words these various kinds of metrical blankness from around Europe could be a form of the *translatio imperii*, emulating the verse of the Greeks and Latins in a contemporary vernacular form while boldly claiming an imperial prosodic kinship with those ancient empires.

In his essay about the complicated and sometimes botched textual transmission of Aristotle’s *Poetics*, Guido Avezzi writes about “the success of a mistake”, and of “fortunate” errors in the history of a text (and, by extension, of a verse form) (52-7). Something similar might be said of classical versification on the early modern English stage. Blank verse never satisfied the quantitative purists. Some of them, like Sidney, did not live to hear its theatrical heyday. Others like Ascham thought that it had not “hit perfect and true versifying”, i.e. metrical classicism, even as it shunned the “barbarism”, i.e. the anti-classicism, of rhyme (Ascham 1570, 61). Yet if blank verse constituted “the institution of a new ‘classical’” (as Orgel puts it here, 31), which sought to emulate the classical metres without narrowly imitating or reproducing them, then we can think of classical metres as being central

³ For comparisons between Roman and Spanish imperial conquests, see Lupher 2009.

not marginal to the early modern English stage – and if this is true, or even plausible as a thought experiment, it would be another, salutary reminder that the history of versification rarely proceeds in a straight line.

Works Cited

- Ascham, Roger. 1570. *The Scholemaster*. London: John Day.
- Attridge, Derek. 1974. *Well-Weighed Syllables: Elizabethan Verse in Classical Metres*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Blank, Paula. 2006. *Shakespeare and the Mismeasure of Renaissance Man*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Enterline, Lynn. 2012. *Shakespeare's Schoolroom: Rhetoric, Discipline, Emotion*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Gascoigne, George. 1587. *The Whole Woorkes of George Gascoigne Esquyre*. London: Abell Jeffes.
- Guy-Bray, Stephen. 2004. "Embracing Troy: Surrey's Aeneid". In *Fantasies of Troy: Classical Tales and the Social Imaginary in Medieval and Early Modern Europe*, edited by Alan Shepard and Stephen D. Powell, 177-92. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Hamlin, Hannibal. 2004. *Psalm Culture and Early Modern English Literature*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hetherington, Michael. 2018. "The Coherence of the Text' in Sixteenth-Century England". *Studies in Philology* 115 (4): 641-78.
- Hoole, Charles. 1660. *A New Discovery of the Old Art of Teaching Schoole*. London: Andrew Crook.
- Howard, Henry. 1554. *The Forth Boke of Virgill, Intreating of the Loue Betwene Aeneas and Dido, Translated into English, and Drawne into a Straunge Metre by Henrye Late Earle of Surrey, Worthy to be Embraced*. London: John Day.
- Jones, Emrys. 1977. *The Origins of Shakespeare*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- King, Ros. 2004. "'Accent and action did they teach him there': Shakespeare and the Construction of Soundscape". In *Shakespeare and the Mediterranean*, edited by Tom Clayton, Susan Brock and Vicente Fores, 180-93. Newark: University of Delaware Press.
- Lupher, David A. 2009. *Romans in the New World*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- McKeen, Christopher. 2020. "Christopher Marlowe, Literary History, and the Lyrical Style of Blank Verse". *English Literary Renaissance* 50 (2): 173-203.
- Miller, William E. 1963. "Double Translation in English Humanistic Education". *Studies in the Renaissance* 10: 163-74.
- Prouty, C.T. 1966. *George Gascoigne: Elizabethan Courtier Soldier, and Poet*. New York: Benjamin Blom.
- Puttenham, George. 2007. *The Art of English Poesy*, edited by Frank Whigham and Wayne A. Rebhorn. New York: Cornell University Press.
- Ramus, Petrus. 1573. *Grammaire*. Paris: André Wechel.

- 1562. *Gramere*. Paris: André Wechel.
- Schelling, Felix Emmanuel. 1908. *Elizabethan Drama*. 2 vols. London: Constable and Co.
- Scott, William. 2013. *The Model of Poesy*, edited by Gavin Alexander. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shakespeare, William. 2005. *The Oxford Shakespeare: The Complete Works*, edited by John Jowett, William Montgomery, Gary Taylor, and Stanley Wells. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sidney, Philip. 2002. *Defence of Poesy*. In *The Major Works*, edited by Katherine Duncan-Jones, 212-50. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Simpson, James. 2016. "The *Aeneid* Translations of Henry Howard, Earl of Surrey". In *The Oxford Handbook of Classical Reception in English Literature*, edited by Rita Copeland, 2 vols, 1.600-20. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Smith, Bruce R. 1988. *Ancient Scripts and Modern Experience on the English Stage, 1500-1700*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Stewart, Alan. 2000. *Philip Sidney: A Double Life*. London: Pimlico.
- Tennyson, Hallam. 1897. *Memoir*. 2 vols. London: Macmillan.
- Tucker-Brooke, C.F. 1922. "Marlowe's Versification and Style". *Studies in Philology* 19: 186-205.
- Waswo, Richard. 1999. "The Rise of the Vernaculars". In *The Cambridge History of Literary Criticism: The Renaissance*, edited by Glyn P. Norton, 409-16. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Webbe, William. 1586. *A Discourse of English Poetrie*. London: John Charlewood.
- Yates, Frances A. 1974. *The French Academies of the Sixteenth Century*. Norwich: Jarrold and Sons.

